

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION N ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 2169.GLE.PT 2858 Gunther Spatz 08/07/2002 10/070,715 EXAMINER 10/07/2004 26986 7590 HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R MORRISS O'BRYANT COMPAGNI, P.C. 136 SOUTH MAIN STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 700** 3721 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84101 DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/070,715	SPATZ ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher R Harmo	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will,  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ration.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum yp period will apply and will expire SIX (6) by statute, cause the application to beck	nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n <u>13 August 2004</u> .	
Zu/Z 11110 ususiti i	☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 10,12-16 and 18-29 is/are penda 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10,12-16 and 18-29 is/are rejection of the company of th	withdrawn from consideratio	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority do  2.□ Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been receive ocuments have been receive the priority documents have al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	d. d in Application No been received in this National Stage ).
Attachment(s)	_	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	,	erview Summary (PTO-413) <sup>.</sup> per No(s)/Mail Date
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08) 5) No	tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  ner:

Application/Control Number: 10/070,715

Art Unit: 3721

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 10, 12-16, 18-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Petho et al. (US 4,987,726).

Petho et al. disclose a method and device for filling and sealing containers in a clean environment with clean room 3. Containers are closed in a closing or stoppering station; see figure 7. Petho et al. disclose "The system, which is sealed from the exterior, is provided with known sealing lock arrangements for the sterile introduction of empty bottles," etc. (see abstract, last sentence). Prior to entering the clean room 3 the containers are cleaned in cleaning lock 17 before entering tunnel 14; see figures 1 and 2. Second cleaning lock is provided (inside clean room) for sterile stoppers; see column 4, lines 27-33 and column 7, lines 47-60. The sterilization method disclosed uses an inert gasification device (nitrogen).

Regarding claims 15-16, Petho et al. disclose "Both the supply tunnel and the discharge or outfeed means are provided with a known sealing lock arrangement (not shown) for maintaining sterility and a suitable pressure... in the tunnel 14."(column 4, lines 6-9). Therefore, the filled and sealed bottles when returned to tunnel 14 are further cleansed (exterior) with a positive pressure before final discharge. The stoppers

Application/Control Number: 10/070,715

Art Unit: 3721

of filled bottles are then wrapped or encapsulated by a second or final sealing device (see column 13, lines 40-45).

The cleaning lock of Petho et al. contains a steam distributor (considered both a liquid and germicidal gas).

The stoppers/sealing devices are sterilized either before entering or in the magazine by "steam, washing liquid, etc." (column 4, lines 52-56).

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 8/13/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Clean room 3 is separate from tunnel 14; see figure 2.

Regarding claim 20, sealing and closing are analogous steps, applicant does nothing to differentiate between the two.

Regarding claim 15, sterile air is considered a fluid material.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3721

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R Harmon whose telephone number is 703-308-8643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EUGENE KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER